



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

5e
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,423	10/31/2001	George S. Gales	10017055-1	2560
7590	03/28/2005		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400				SHERKAT, AREZOO
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2131		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/002,423	GALES, GEORGE S.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2001.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/01 & 5/14/03.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-33 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rowland, (U.S. Patent No. 6,405,318).

Regarding claims 1 and 19, Rowland discloses a network intrusion detection system, comprising:

a processor, a memory accessible by the processor, a monitor application stored in the memory and executable by the processor, the monitor application adapted to monitor network activity associated with a network node, a profile application stored in the memory and executable by the processor, the profile application adapted to automatically generate an activity profile associated with the network node using the monitored network activity, and a recognition engine stored in the memory and executable by the processor, the recognition engine adapted to compare a network event to the activity profile to determine whether the network event is authorized for the network node (Col. 3, lines 30-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-48).

Regarding claims 2-4, Rowland discloses wherein the network activity comprises inbound data communications and outbound data communications (Col. 4, lines 30-48).

Regarding claim 5, Rowland discloses wherein the profile application generates the activity profile corresponding to network activity occurring over a predetermined time period (Col. 4, lines 15-30).

Regarding claims 6, 17, 26, and 28, Rowland discloses wherein the profile application is further adapted to automatically update the activity profile in response to a predetermined event (i.e., login events)(Col. 4, lines 30-48).

Regarding claims 7, 18, 25, 29, and 33, Rowland discloses wherein the profile application is further adapted to automatically update the activity profile corresponding to a predetermined time period (i.e., the log auditing function can run on a periodic basis with the period selected by the user or it can run continuously in real-time)(Col. 4, lines 30-48).

Regarding claims 8, 16, and 32, Rowland discloses wherein the recognition engine is further adapted to block the network event if the network event exceeds the activity profile (i.e., blocking access to the computer system)(Col. 6, lines 13-67 and Col. 7, lines 1-67 and Col. 8, lines 1-24).

Regarding claims 9, 15, and 20, Rowland discloses wherein the profile application is further adapted to automatically update the activity profile if the network event is authorized (i.e., if the user is logging into the system, the monitor builds/updates the user profile database and updates the active user database)(Col. 4, lines 30-48).

Regarding claims 10, 14, 22, and 30, Rowland discloses further comprising an event library accessible by the recognition engine to determine whether the network event is authorized, the event library comprising information associated with authorized network activities not reflected in the activity profile (Col. 7, lines 55-67 and Col. 8, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 11, Rowland discloses a method for network intrusion detection, comprising:

monitoring network activity associated with network node for predetermined time period, automatically generating an activity profile corresponding to the network node using the monitored network activity, identifying a network event associated with the network node, and automatically determining whether the network event is authorized for the network node using the activity profile (Col. 4, lines 15-67 and Col. 5, lines 1-67 and Col. 6, lines 1-12).

Regarding claims 12, 21, and 31, Rowland discloses wherein monitoring the network activity comprises monitoring the network activity comprising inbound data communications and outbound data communications associated with the network node (i.e., port scanning)(Col. 6, lines 13-67 and Col. 7, lines 1-40).

Regarding claims 13 and 23, Rowland discloses wherein monitoring the network activity comprises monitoring network application usage corresponding to the network node (Col. 8, lines 46-67 and Col. 9, lines 1-52).

Regarding claim 24, Rowland discloses wherein the recognition engine is further adapted to generate an event alarm log for the network event if the network event is not authorized (Col. 8, lines 46-67 and Col. 9-10, lines 1-67 and Col. 11, lines 1-42).

Regarding claim 27, Rowland discloses a computer program for assisting in network intrusion detection, comprising;

a computer-readable medium, and a profile application stored on the computer-readable medium, the profile application adapted to monitor network activity and generate an activity profile using the monitored network activity, the activity profile used to determine whether a network event is authorized (Col. 3, lines 30-67 and Col. 4, lines 1-48).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lermuzeaux et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,621,889),
Milliken et al., (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0073617),
Epstein et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,584,508),
Sheih et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,278,901),
Milliken et al., (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0064737), and
Guheen et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,473,794).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arezoo Sherkat whose telephone number is (571) 272-3796. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Arezoo Sherkat
Patent Examiner
Group 2131
March 18, 2005



AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100